

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,191	08/02/2006	Masayoshi Kinoshita	071971-0690	6782
50/80 7590 68/25/2008 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			COLE, BRANDON S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/588,191 KINOSHITA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BRANDON S. COLE 2816 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on June 5th 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on August 2nd 2006 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/588,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

This action is FINAL in response to the communications filed on 6/05/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Chou (US 6,201,435).

AAPA figure 7 shows a reference voltage generation circuit for generating a constant reference voltage at a reference voltage output terminal (0), comprising: a first diode element (D1) having a cathode connected to a ground potential; a second diode element (D2) which has a current density different from that of the first diode element

Art Unit: 2816

and whose cathode is connected to the ground potential;

a first resistive element (R1) having an end connected to an anode of the second diode element; a second resistive element (R2) having an end connected to the other end of the first resistive element, the other end of the second resistive element being connected to the reference voltage output terminal; a third resistive element (R3) having an end connected to an anode of the first diode element and the other end connected to the reference voltage output terminal; a first P-type transistor (Tr1) for supplying a current to the reference voltage output terminal; a second P-type transistor (Tr2) having a gate terminal connected to its own drain terminal and to a gate terminal of the first P-type transistor; a bandgap reference circuit (30) having a feedback type control circuit (31) for controlling a drain current of the second P-type transistor such that a voltage at the anode of the first diode element is equal to a voltage at a connection point between the first and second resistive elements; and a start-up circuit (40).

AAPA fails to show that the start-up circuit is provided between the drain terminal of the second P-type transistor of the bandgap reference circuit and the ground potential, and increasing the drain current of the second P-type transistor, if the drain current of the second P-type transistor is substantially zero, wherein the start-up circuit includes: a P-type transistor having a gate terminal connected to the reference voltage output terminal; and a current generating element provided between a source terminal of the P-type transistor and a drain terminal of the second P-type transistor of the bandgap reference circuit, and wherein the current generating element is a resistive

Art Unit: 2816

element.

However, Chou figure 8 shows a start-up circuit is provided between the drain terminal of the second P-type transistor (P2) of the bandgap reference circuit and the ground potential, and increasing the drain current of the second P-type transistor, if the drain current of the second P-type transistor is substantially zero, wherein the start-up circuit includes: a P-type transistor (P80) having a gate terminal connected to the reference voltage output terminal; and a current generating element (P8n) provided between a source terminal of the P-type transistor and a drain terminal of the second P-type transistor of the bandgap reference circuit, and wherein the current generating element is a resistive element.

Chou teaches in column 7, lines 45-55 that the start-up circuit's flow causes the second P-type transistor to conduct.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use Chou's start-up circuit in place of AAPA start-up circuit for the purpose of reducing noise variations.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2816

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 6/05/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to claim 4, Chou figure 8 clearly shows a current generating element (P8n) provided between a source terminal of the P-type transistor (P80) and a drain terminal of the second P-type transistor (P2) of the bandgap reference circuit, wherein the current generating element is a resistive element. The current generating element (P8n) is a diode-connected transistor and the "Diode Resistance" non-patent literature teaches that a diode has an internal resistance. Therefore, the current generating element (P80) is a resistive element.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2816

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDON S. COLE whose telephone number is (571)270-5075. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 7:30-5:00 EST (Alternate Friday's Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan, can be reached at (571) 272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kenneth B Wells/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2816

/Brandon S Cole/ Examiner, Art Unit 2816

Page 7

Art Unit: 2816